In The Matter Of:

Hodell-Natco Industries, Inc. v. SAP America, Inc, et al.

Terry Phillips August 2, 2012

NEXTGEN REPORTING

Making Litigation Easier.

NextGenReporting.com

PHILADELPHIA | 215,944,5800 NEW YORK CITY | 646,470,8376 PROGNEX | 623,224,2760 SILICON VALLEY | 650,799,8020

Original File 08.02.12 Hodell-Natco Industries_Inc. v. SAP America_Inc_et al. Witness Terry
Phillips.txt
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

```
1
1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
                     EASTERN DIVISION
 2
 3
    HODELL-NATCO
                               Judge: Lesley Wells
    INDUSTRIES, INC.,
                               Magistrate Judge:
 4
                                  Greg White
             Plaintiff,
 5
                               No. 1:08 CV 2755
          vs.
 6
    SAP AMERICAN, INC.,
 7
    ET AL.,
             Defendants.
 8
 9
10
       VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF TERRY PHILLIPS
11
12
               Thursday, August 2, 2012
13
       DATE:
14
       TIME:
               10:00 a.m.
15
       PLACE: Reminger & Reminger
               1400 Midland Building
               101 Prospect Avenue, West
16
               Cleveland, Ohio 44115
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
    NEXT GEN
                                      Susan E. Ingraham
                                  705 Harbor Point Drive
24
    REPORTING
                                     Celina, Ohio 45822
    Registered Professional Reporters (419) 733-0987
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Α. I want to say that we tested the same, you know, test cases that we did at any other time, which would have been most of the major functionality in the system. 0. Is it fair to say that in your personal experience, based on what you witnessed, that although there had been some improvements in performance and speed of this system from the earlier stress test up to the time of Go-Live, that right before the time of Go-Live, you personally knew that the speed and performance was unacceptable to Hodell; is that fair? Α. It's fair. Did you tell anybody at Hodell ο. that you thought the speed and performance was unacceptable right before Go-Live? Sure. I mean, everyone knew the Α. results of the tests. Yeah, I voiced my opinion. Q. Okay. Who did you voice your opinion to? Kevin, the project manager at, or A. I'm sorry, I don't know if it was Marcia. Marcia Weissman? ο.

27 1 Α. Marcia Weissman. Yeah, I don't recall other people, but I did voice my 2 3 opinion. 4 Q. And what exactly was your opinion at that time just before Go-Live? 5 6 Α. That the system was still very 7 slow. Did you have an opinion that you 8 9 reached just before Go-Live as to whether Hodell should actually go live or if Hodell 10 11 should wait? 12 Α. Yes. I thought that we should wait and try to work out the issues. 13 14 Did you express that opinion to 15 anybody? 16 Α. Yeah, I expressed it to Kevin and the LSi side. 17 18 0. What do you recall telling Kevin 19 Reidl specifically with respect to your opinion 20 that Hodell should wait and not go live? A. Other than that, I don't remember 21 22 specifically what was said. 23 Q. Okay. The decision, though, was 24 made to go live over -- even though you held 25 the opinion that Hodell should wait; correct?

		28
1	A. Yeah.	
2	Q. And what transpired with respect	
3	to the solution right after Go-Live?	
4	A. What transpired with respect to	
5	the solution?	
6	Q. Better question is what did you	
7	personally witness the solution doing right	
8	after Go-Live so far as performance and speed	
9	issues?	
LO	A. The same as before we went live,	
L1	slow.	ĺ
L2	Q. Slow. Was it slower, was it	
L3	faster, was it about the same?	
L 4	A. I don't recall, you know, what the	
L 5	difference was right before we went live. I	
.6	want to say that it was probably the same.	
.7	Q. Do you remember what month in 2007	ļ
8.	you actually got your degree from Regis	
.9	University in computer science?	
0	A. I believe it was June or July.	
1	Q. So do you recall when Hodell went	
2	live on the Business One system?	
:3	A. I believe it was March.	
4	Q. Of 2007?	
5	A. Yes, I believe so.	

94 as it would be used in a real-life Go-Live 1 2 scenario? 3 MR. STAR: Objection, form. Α. From what I remember, yeah. 4 5 Q. Okay. Do you have any personal 6 knowledge of anyone at Hodell-Natco not 7 following that instruction? MR. STAR: Objection, form. 8 9 No. A. I mean, if they weren't there that 10 11 day. You testified about performance 12 0. 13 issues that you observed in the pre live 14 testing. Do you recall that testimony? 1.5 Α. Yeah. 16 You advised Kevin Reidl or you 0. 17 expressed an opinion to Kevin that you didn't feel like Hodell should go live. Do you recall 18 19 that testimony? Yeah, I felt that Hodell should 20 21 just wait and have LSi work out the remaining 22 issues. Were you personally involved in 23 ο. 24 any communications between Kevin Reidl and 25 folks at LSi about the actual decision to go

	95
1	live?
2	A. I don't believe so.
3	Q. So you don't have any knowledge of
4	what was said from LSi to Hodell, and vice
5	versa, in reaching a decision to go live in
6	March of 2012?
7	MR. STAR: Objection, to form.
8	Q. Or March of 2007.
9	MR. STAR: Same objection.
10	A. No. Like I said, I don't know
11	what the reasons were for going live. I
12	know I mean, I was asked to give my opinion
13	about whether we were ready to Kevin and I gave
14	him my opinion, and he, to my knowledge,
15	evaluated that and, you know, made his
16	decision.
17	Q. To your knowledge, was that
18	decision made by Kevin alone or was he being
19	advised by folks at LSi regarding the decision
20	to go live?
21-	MR. STAR: Objection to form.
22	A. I don't think he made it alone.
23	I'm sure he was, I'm sure it was, you know, a
24	panel of people deciding on it.
25	Q. You mentioned something about